

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/842,753	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AHO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ASAD M. NAWAZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 2455	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ASAD M. NAWAZ.

(2) Joseph Gamberdell (Reg No 44,695).

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to clarify how the independent claims, other than the last limitation, state anything other than prior art encapsulation. Examiner recommends that the applicants incorporate something significant about WAP within their independent claims as the specification is directed mostly to such material.